DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

Serial: M52/1281/86 7 February 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, DCI SECURITY COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: Minutes of the Thirty-First Facility Protection Subcommittee Meeting

- 1. The Minutes to the Thirty-First Meeting of the Facility Protection Subcommittee (FPS), held Tuesday, 14 January 1986 is attached as Enclosure 1.
- 2. The next meeting of the FPS is scheduled for 1000 hours, 26 February 1986 at the Ames Building, 1820 Ft. Myer Drive, Rossyln, VA. The room number is 1015.
- 3. The Agenda for the February 1986 meeting is attached as Enclosure 2. Any additions or changes to the Agenda may be directed to the Chairman at any time prior to the meeting date.

Chairman

Encl:

DISTRIBUTION:

Mrs. E. Hall (AF) Mr. F. Wirth (Army)

(CIĀ)

Mr. T. Forgas (FBI)

Ms. M. Forrester (DOJ)

Mr. R. Schmeltz (Navy)
(NSA)

Ms. B. Long (OSD)

Mr. T. Thounhurst (State)

Mr. N. Vint (Treasury/SS)

(SECOM Staff)

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

₹~6

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

FACILITY PROTECTION SUBCOMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE THIRTY FIRST MEETING Tuesday, 14 January 1986 1000 Hours, Ames Building, Rosslyn, VA

Members Present

Serial: M52/1281/86 7 February 1986

Enclosure 1

STAT Chairman STAT NSA Mr. F. Wirth, Army Ms. E. Hall, AF CIA STAT Ms. R. Long, OSD Mr. R. Schmeltz, Navy Ms. M. Forrester, DOJ Ms. C. Bromwell, Executive Secretary Also Present SECOM Staff STAT Members Not Present Mr. S. Slaughter, DOE Mr. T. Forgas, FBI DIA STAT Mr. T. Thounhurst, State STAT of NSA was introduced as 1. General. the new FPS Chairman. The Minutes of the 20 November meeting were approved as written. A change by DIA to the DIAM 50-3 which went to all DoD SSOs was provided to the Chairman. sent a copy of comments by the ISWG regarding **STAT** 9.1/47 and differences between DIAM 50-3; they had already been addressed. 2. Contractor Seminar. Although there were some initial facilities and logistics difficulties, the critiques and other feedback indicate the first contractor seminar was well received and highly successful. The assistance and participation by the members is greatly appreciated. The total cost to the government for this seminar was almost \$800 below the budgeted estimate of \$2,740. We have the opportunity to use the "lesson learned" from this first effort to make the next presentation even better. has not yet finished the composite critique, STAT but mentioned some of the weak points that had been highlighted. The perimeter film is too parochial (more for the military)

Serial: M52/1281/86

and should be replaced. Members are requested to nominate a replacement presentation. The Subcommittee members agreed. The Temporary Secure Area/Temporary Secure Working Area section needs to be updated with professional visual aids. The Army member agreed to assist the speaker in rewriting the presentation. There should be discussion of Tactical/Mobile/Combat SCIFs.

Everyone needs to be aware that this scenario exists and since contractors build mobile SCIFs, they should have a working knowledge of our concerns. The members discussed having DIA give the presentation since they see all three of the services' mobile SCIFs, but decided to have Army continue to do it with input from the Navy and Air Force.

The members also agreed that accreditation of mobile SCIFs is unique and should be separate from the general accreditation panel discussion. There was further agreement on dropping the barrier film. The Chairman will contact McGraw-Hill about a replacement.

There was a general consensus that the TEMPEST and Computer Security sections are somewhat disorganized and need to be reworked. There needs to be a discussion of basic TEMPEST policy and vulnerabilities, both CONUS and overseas, government and industry, and one on the technical threat assessment and CM surveys. The Computer Security section needs to address protection of information, physical and personnel security, accreditation, software, TEMPEST and COMSEC.

The members agreed that there needs to be a transnational terrorism threat and implication discussion led by someone with field experience. The Chairman will check with DIA for a nomination; will also explore possibilities.

STAT

STAT

STAT

There was a consensus that any changes made to the agenda should be based on student needs, not the contractors', and that the intent of the contractor seminar is to establish a common ground with contractors, not to educate them, and to show them what we present to the government. For future seminars junior level people will be invited. will finish the critique and present it for discussion at the next meeting.

The next seminar will be in April. Members are asked to be prepared at the next meeting to say how many slots they will use, with the names of nominees and an alternate.

3. $\frac{\text{DCID 1/21}}{\text{FPS}}$ on the SECOM log. handed out a draft of the basic document with only the sixth section yet to be added, Sound Attenuation from 9.1/47. The telephone section was

Enclosure 1

Serial: M52/1281/86

STAT

STAT

written and agreed to by another SECOM subcommittee.

will have the uniform check list at the next meeting for incorporation as an annex.

At the next meeting, members-are requested to provide concurrence/comments before DCID 1/21 is forwarded to SECOM. A copy will also be sent to the ISWG. While some members anticipate reluctance by their agencies to approve it in its current form, all agreed that as written now, DCID 1/21 is what most people are doing in a waiver format.

Once DCID 1/21 is forwarded to SECOM, FPS members will begin drafting the techical annexes. Some, like the hardware and alarm component annex, may require extensive coordination.

Cnairman

Enclosure 1

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

FACILITY PROTECTION SUBCOMMITTEE

AGENDA

Serial: M52/1281/86

7 February 1986

Date: 26 February 1986

Topic 1: April 1986 Seminar

a. Billets

b. Firming schedule

Speaker arrangements

Topic 2: Review of DCID 1/21

Chairman

STAT

Enclosure 2